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Introduction

Not much is known about activities and changes along the western part of the decumanus in the centuries following the Roman Period. As in other classical cities, however, the capacity of the decumanus as a shopping street was later enlarged by the construction of lines of shops along the middle of the street. This division of the western part of the decumanus into a northern market street, Suq al-Dhira’, and a southern street, now known as Suq al-Qutn, may have taken place in early Islamic times (see Meier and Weber below: p. 379). There is no material evidence for the exact position of the Roman city gate by the western end of the decumanus; but Bab al-Jabiya leading through the western city wall into Suq al-Qutn was constructed – or reconstructed – by Nur al-Din on the southern section of the decumanus, where after the historian Ibn Asakir (d. 1178) part of the Roman gate was. The construction of Bab al-Jabiya in 1164 was part of Nur al-Din’s large-scale plan for the restoration of Damascus’ fortification walls. However, much of his work had to be repaired and enlarged by the Ayyubids less than a hundred years later, before the middle of the 13th century.

In the 12th century – and probably already in the Roman Period – the main branch of the Qanawat river was led by a subterranean aqueduct into the city through Bab al-Jabiya, below Suq al-Qutn and further towards the east below the decumanus (via recta). From here a number of subterranean channels supplied the southern and eastern parts of the city with water. One of the major channels carried water from the Qanawat along what would have been the eastern walls of the Roman theatre towards the south, below Bab al-Saghir towards Midan. On its way it supplied several mosques and two or three baths with water (Wulzinger / Watzinger 1924: 27–30, Tafel 61., compare Meier and Weber below: Fig. 311). What is especially interesting in our context, however, is that in the 12th century it also passed a water mill, situated close to the via recta, immediately southwest of the ruins of the Roman theatre. The name of the mill was Tahnumat al-Sijin, the “mill of the prison”.

There is no doubt that for centuries one of the largest buildings along the southern side of the via recta was the Roman theatre of Herod the Great. It may have been in use for more than five hundred years, possibly until the Byzantine...
emperor Justinian in the year 529 interdicted the use of the classical theatres for performances (see Nielsen above: p. 222). In this connection it is worth mentioning that among the pottery recovered by excavation in the ‘Aqqad house the latest sherds reflecting activities at the site in the Byzantine Period can be dated to the late 5th or the early 6th century AD (see Mortensen below: p. 328).

In the pottery sequence this is followed by a gap of more than seven hundred years until the 13th century. During these centuries the huge Roman theatre was probably used as a quarry, a convenient source of large regular ashlars for major early Islamic building projects in the city (Mortensen 2002: 238). With the position of the “mill of the prison” next to the western wall of the theatre in mind, it has recently been suggested that the ruin, apart from being used as a quarry, also served as a prison (Burns 2004: 188).

The rebuilding of Damascus after the Mongol destruction in 1401 took some time. Many artists and artisans who had survived Timur’s attack were carried off to Samarkand, but during the 15th century the city slowly recovered its position as a flourishing centre of trade and culture. However, as Meinecke suggests and as our observations could confirm, the change of decoration and construction techniques were not complete through this caesura. Only some aspects of interior decoration and design were enriched by patterns from Cairo, like the fine marble/mother of pearl mosaics in the madrasa of the governor Jaqmaq al-Argunshawi or the newly introduced concept of praying halls with open iwans, like the Dar al-Qur’an al-Khaydariyya next to Bayt al’Aqqad. But most of the local patterns survived and developed lavishly in the 15th century (Meinecke 1992: 1, 188–194). It seems that the south-western quarter of Damascus changed into an area occupied by members of the Mamluk high society (see Meier and Weber below: p. 290), and within a distance of less than a hundred metres from where the ruins of the Roman theatre were situated we find several important buildings from this period still preserved: the minaret of Hisham (1426/27), the minaret of al-Qal‘i (1460–70?) and the Dar al-Qur’an al-Khaydariya (1473/74).

By this time – as a result of the growing economic development in the 15th century – the stage was set for a major building project at the site of what still remained of the theatre. Important parts of this Mamluk building are still to be found, incorporated in what is now known as the Bayt al’Aqqad. They include the qa’a (No 1.20), the iwan (No 1.12), the murabba east of the iwan (No 1.11), the murabba west of the iwan (No 1.13), the cellar below the eastern murabba (No 0.2) and possibly the ceiling above the iwan.

The position of Bayt al’Aqqad in relation to the Roman theatre is shown in Fig. 144. It appears that the scena has probably served as a foundation for the qa’a and that the northern wall of the qa’a follows the scena from the portico behind the scena.
In other areas the Roman walls were also incorporated in the Mamluk building complex, for example east of the qa’a where a corner of the Roman wall was preserved and still standing to a height of more than 4 metres above the 18th century floor of the courtyard. Towards the south, however, the position of the Mamluk iwan erected above the lower part of the theatre house (the ima cavea) suggests that this part of the theatre was levelled, possibly down to the floor of the orchestra. We believe that the floor of the Roman scena – if it still exists – might be found c. 1.80 metres below the ataba (i.e. the lower floor of the qa’a), and that the floor of the orchestra should probably be located c. 3.25 metres below the 18th century floor of the courtyard as it appears today (see Nielsen above: p. 223).

The Mamluk Period:
The Making of the House in the 15th Century

The Date of the Construction
The ‘Aqqad House does not contain building inscriptions from the Mamluk period and we could not identify the family living in it during the 15th century. Dating parts of the house was only possible by careful observations in the building and comparison with techniques and patterns of construction and decoration of other dated Mamluk monuments. However, thanks to a number of court records concerning real-estate transactions of the 18th century we were able to reconstruct some today vanished elements that most probably belonged to the house in Mamluk times.3

The Qa’a
The northern façade of the Bayt al-‘Aqqad is one of the most splendid façades in Damascus (Fig. 145). It is as well one of the few remains of Mamluk dwellings in the old city. As such it is a rare case for urban centres in the countries that were under Mamluk (1250/60–1516) or Ottoman (1516–1918) rule. From Turkey houses older than the 18th century are hardly known. From Cairo, which had many splendid Mamluk palaces, only a few examples of Mamluk and Ottoman houses survived. Aleppo and Damascus are the towns around the eastern Mediterranean that contain – compared to other towns in the region – a large number of historical houses. In both cities several thousand houses are still standing. But even this large amount of urban dwellings contains only a very few and fragmentary examples of Mamluk domestic architecture.

The panels of interwoven ribbons or round medallions with centred rays framed by rows of pseudo-wedge stones, twisted ribbons and mouldings attribute this façade to the Mamluk architectural tradition as we know it from the 14th and
15th centuries and continuing into Ottoman times (Fig. 146). But the qa’a was altered and reorganized during its history and contains no dating inscription from the Mamluk period. The lower part of the façade with the door and the windows was partially changed and rebuilt in the 18th century, but the upper section with the large panel is characteristic of a style found in the Burji Mamluk period (1382–1516). Its closest parallels all date to the 15th century.

The façade is framed by a zigzag band cut in mezzi limestone and with small trefoiled flowers on the inside (Figs. 146 and 196). It continues all the way down along the sides of the façade till just above the bottom of the windows. Towards east the zigzag band is finished by a small, nicely curved sling ornament with a dot in the middle. A very close parallel to this zigzag band, used as a frame and also terminated by curved sling ornaments is seen on the 15th-century façade of a house, most probably from Sidi ‘Amud/Hariqa (Fig. 148).4
Between the zigzag band and the central panel there is a border of black and white pseudo-wedge stones and a ribbon of black and white interlocked cone patterns. These ornamental frames are spaced by bands of black basalt and of yellow and pinkish red *mezzi* limestone. The central panel consists of three square fields, bordered by a moulded frame and a tri-coloured geometric band with an interlaced motif of alternating ovals and hexagons. A variant of this braid pattern is repeated as an inner frame around the midst of the three squares.

As noted by Michael Meinecke ornamental medallions or panels placed with a regular distance between each ornament are common on Mamluk façades in the second half of the 14th century (Meinecke 1992, I: 192–93), and they continue into the 15th century as seen for example along the beautiful façade of the Shadhbakliya.
146. Bayt al-'Aqqad: the northern façade of the courtyard (r.l. and s.l. 1999/2005).

147. Bayt al-'Aqqad: reconstruction of the Mamluk northern façade of the courtyard (r.l. and s.l. 1999/2005).


mosque, dated 857/1453. But panels like at Bayt al-'Aqqad — composed of three or four squares with a composite geometrical motif in the middle, faced on each side by two round medallions with centred rays in a more or less complex arrangement — appear in Damascus for the first time at the Dar al-Qur'an al-Sabuniya (868/1464) and on the façade of the Saqifa mosque (869/1465, Figs. 149–150). Other examples, possibly from the second half of the 15th century, are seen at Bayt Nadir in the Qabr Atika quarter (Fig. 151), and on two façades of houses in Sidi 'Amud (Figs. 148 and 152).

On the 'Aqqad façade the two outer panels with centred cone-shaped rays are bordered by mouldings and knots connecting the circles with the moulded frame. This motif appears quite often in the 15th century, e.g. at the Saqifa mosque (Fig.
at the Dar al-Qur'an al-Sabuniya, where the panels are not framed by a moulding but by strips of white marble and colour paste (Fig. 149), and at the Qal‘i minaret (Fig. 154). But unfortunately these general similarities do not offer any firm criterion for dating since the motif seems to have been in continuous use for several centuries, as shown for example at the Hammam Fathi from the first half of the 18th century (Fig. 155). It is notable, however, that the simple triangular motifs used to fill in the corners of the two outer panels on the ‘Aqqad façade (Fig. 145) are closely paralleled in the panel of the Sabuniya façade (Fig. 149).

In the middle of the two outer panels there are small medallions made as mosaics of tiny pieces of white and red marble, bits of blue glazed ceramics and coloured paste (Fig. 156). The colour paste may, however, be due to repairs. Since part of the façade in later periods has been covered by thin layers of plaster, following the original motifs, it is not always easy to define the techniques and the character of the original surface and joints of stones. A very close parallel to the two medallions from the ‘Aqqad House is found on the outer façade of the Madrasa al-Shadhbakliya (857/1453), where exactly the same motif of a six-pointed star surrounding hexagons filled again by smaller pointed stars appears (Fig. 157). One can also find similar mosaics in the same arrangement on the façade of the Mu‘allaq mosque (862/1458).

The small, central medallion in the middle of the three panels at Bayt al-‘Aqqad shows a geometrical star motif formed by black coloured paste filled into limestone (Fig. 158). A comparable example is seen at the Saqifa mosque (Fig. 159).

It seems that this usage of colour paste for smaller and finer decorative elements appears in the early 15th century. One point of departure seems to be the Qasab/Aqṣab Mosque (811/1408–09, Fig. 160), which is a close parallel to the minaret of the Tawrizi mosque (832/1428–29). Here we can observe, for the first time, round medallions with thin lines of black inlaid colour paste, and from the 1420s onwards the technique is fully developed.6

At the Bayt al-‘Aqqad it seems that the twisted ribbons (Fig. 87), pseudo-wedge stones, black and white interlocked cone patterns, and the cone-shaped rays of the two outer panels are made of stone (sometimes later covered with paste), while in the central panel colour paste seems to be applied as well. It is extremely difficult to figure out the difference between stone and colour paste. Since the appearance of its surface is exactly the same, one has to look for the joints between two stones. But this sounds much easier than it is. Sometimes joints are covered with colour paste, so that one cannot detect them, and sometimes artificial joints are carved into the paste, like at the middle panel of Bayt al-‘Aqqad. Here one can see the bubbles of the basaltic colour paste inside the joints! The combination of the two techniques—stone cutting and its colour paste replacement—on the same façade seems to be common in most cases.
Looking for an approximate date of the ornamental panel on the northern façade of Bayt al-‘Aqqad, a number of similarities – in general and in detail – point towards decorations at the Shadbakliya mosque (857/1453), the Dar al-Qur’an al-Sabuniya (868/1464), the Saqifa mosque (869/1465), and possibly the Mu’allaq mosque (862/1458) and the minaret of al-Qal’i (1460–70?). In our opinion this might suggest a date for the construction of the Mamluk qa’a within the third quarter of the 15th century.

The courtyard in front of qa’a façade was different from today. It was not only wider, but a court record from 1162/1749 gives interesting details of vanished elements of the inner courtyard, mentioning a jet fountain (birka ma nahida) and a paved rostrum (suffa nuballata) with a small fountain, which could easily be of Mamluk origin (124/123/222 14.4.1162). This element – quite common in Aleppo – is very unusual for Damascus and known only from the Bayt Saqqa Amini (Cat. XVIII–292 from the very early 19th century).
153. < Saqifa mosque: detail of façade, 869/1465 (s.w. 2001).

154. The Qal’i minaret: detail of façade, 1460-70 (s.w. 2002).

155. < Hammam Fathi: detail of façade, before 1159/1749 (s.w. 2001).

160. Qasab/Aqṣab mosque, 811/1408-09 (s.w. 2002).


163. Bayt al-‘Aqqad: reconstructed elevation of the Mamluk iwan façade showing the panels east and west of the arch, as exposed during the restoration of the house in 1999 (r.l. and s.l. 1999/2005).
The **Iwan**

The *iwan* is one of the very characteristic features of architecture in the Middle East. An *iwan* (sometimes called *liwan* as well) is a hall that is often vaulted and most of the time opens to the courtyard on the full height of the façade. The evolution of the *iwan* is not yet clearly established, but most probably it became a part of secular architecture parallel to its introduction as a main element of schools, mosques and hospitals in Bilad al-Sham during the late 11th and early 12th centuries. Unfortunately, except for some palace ruins, no houses remain in the Arab world from periods before Mamluk times. To have an *iwan* on the south side of the courtyard is a very common feature for houses in the Ottoman Period, yet we do not know how common this feature was for houses under the Mamluks, since only very few *iwans* from this period are left. The Bayt al-Quwatli in Kallasā (*Fig. 161*) bears under its more recent plaster a construction from Mamluk times with com-
posite blazons of Mamluk heraldry typical for the 15th century (compare Mayer 1933: 32), and like the Bayt al-Masri it has a Mamluk iwan (for both houses see Weber 2001: Catalogue Nos 609 and 681). Also in other cities there are examples of houses from the late Mamluk and early Ottoman Periods which have an iwan—like most of the public buildings in Mamluk times. But the iwan in the Bayt al-‘Aqqad is unusual in its dimensions (Fig. 162), and it is even higher than the iwan of the Bayt al-Quwatli, which must have belonged to a Mamluk amir.

Like the northern façade of the qa‘a, the façade of the iwan has been changed in later periods (Fig. 163). Sometime during the Ottoman Period the inner walls of the arch must have been restored or even replaced by new walls. Stones of basalt and limestone with carved cone motifs, originally inlaid with colour paste, were found secondarily displaced behind the plaster covering the inner walls of the arch and reused in the floor of the murabba’ west of the iwan (Fig. 164). Most of these stones were similar to those used in the frames and borders of the qa‘a and the iwan, but a few stones showed a more elaborate shape of cone, as known from the late 15th century, and the 16th century. They may belong to possible restoration work when a certain Shihabi Ahmad ibn Battuhi turned the house into a waqf in 932/1526 (see below). The stones were probably taken from other buildings or walls and reused for the restoration of walls and floors in the ‘Aqqad House around 1900.

In the 18th century new rooms were added on top of the murabba’ east and west of the arch, and finally around 1900 the two buildings along the sides of the courtyard were added, covering in this way part of the original Mamluk façade, including most of the panels east and west of the arch.

Before the 18th-century addition of two rooms east and west of the arch, the middle part of the iwan, above the arch, rose higher than the rest of the iwan façade. In Islamic architecture this is called a pishtaq, a construction well known from Mamluk architecture in Cairo and from other parts of the Islamic world. From houses in Damascus only one Mamluk pishtaq is preserved at Bayt al-Masri, but they are not totally unknown from Ottoman times, as evidenced by historical photographs of the destroyed houses of Abdallah Khayyata (early 17th century: Fig. 166) and Hafiz Bek al-‘Azm (1190/1776–77: Fig. 165). A court record from 1162/1749 describes the “large iwan” with two rooms called qubba at its sides, most probably part of the Mamluk iwan structure (124/133/222 14.4.1162).

For the eastern murabba’ some details are given. It was topped by the eastern “dome” (al-qubba al-sharqiyya). A representative room (qasr) and an open gallery (riwaq) must have been next to it, all served by a stone staircase. The iwan complex also contained a kitchen and toilets, and two (stone) basins for water. Both murabba’ s had cellars. The service units were connected to the water network. The eastern cellar is still there and the unit for housekeeping is even today in the east of the eastern murabba’; but as it appears today it probably dates to the 18th century.
However, no traces of the riwaq, qasr, or the two “domes” are visible anymore since the upper parts of the murabra’s were considerably changed during the late 18th century (probably after the earthquake) and around 1900.

The phenomenology of the term qubba is not well established. Literally the Arabic word for “dome” appears quite seldom in Ottoman records. Marino, following the terminology of Aleppine houses, and out of her research on the records from the Midan quarter, refers the term qubba to small rooms which give access on the ground floor to a murabra’ of an iwan or to a qa’ra (Marino 1997: 231). In documents at our disposal the qubbases are mentioned rarely, and if so, it is mainly in relation to several houses from the 16th and early 17th century. The Ghazzi waqqfiyya from 935/1529 gives two qubbases facing each other at an iwan of their house, quite similar to a situation where one would expect a murabra’ (Waqqfiyyat al-Ghazzi 935/1529, p. 7). The waqqfiyya of Ahmad ibn Sinan al-Qaramani mentions several houses turned into a waqf in 1019/1610, among them a house from 999/1590–91 where a qubba is attached to a tazar of a murabra’ next to an iwan or simply attached to an iwan in a house from 986/1578 (Waqqfiyyat al-Qaramani 1019/1610, p. 1). However, the records do not give us much of a hint about their function, and indeed only very little information about their shape (in some cases they are mentioned with windows). A legal proceeding from 1127/1715 describes a large undated house close to the Suq al-Buzuriyya out of the waqf for Mekka and Medina, which stretches from the Zuqaq al-Hamrawi to the Madrasa al-Jawziyya.
The description in the record fits the exact position of the Qasr al-‘Azm, which was built some three decades later on the remains of the Dar al-Dhahab, the palace of the Mamluk governor Tankiz (712/1312–740/1340), which was commissioned in 728/1327–28. Since most of the surrounding houses mentioned in the record are from the same waqf and in some cases were detached courtyards of the house, the description in the court record deals with a very large structure and may describe parts of the Mamluk palace or remains of it. The record describes two murabba’s, both having a qubba covered with bricks – thus a vaulted or domed structure (H26b 18.8.1127). On the other hand a court record from 1035/1626 does mention a qubba with a wooden ceiling, and a court record from 1142/1729 mentions two qubbas with a painted ‘ajami ceiling – thus obviously not domed structures (2/34/45, 3.8.1035; 63/370/587, 11.4.1142).

Confronting the information from the records with material evidence, one must mention that domed rooms are generally not known in Damascene residential architecture. Furthermore, anterooms to murabba’s or to qa’a’s are not known to us, with one exception of a very early and unique qa’a in the quarter of Bab Tuma, which gives evidence for the existence of both – domed rooms and anterooms to a qa’a – in residential architecture in Damascus (Weber 2004a: 268–271). South of the qa’a a domed anteroom is attached, thus matching what was maybe described in early Ottoman court records as a qubba. Consequently, we suggest that the term qubba could be understood as a domed room in Mamluk and early Ottoman Damascus. Perhaps used as a term for certain rooms with domes, the name and function of this room remained, while the ceiling changed to a wooden beam type during the 17th and 18th century. Thus, the qubbas mentioned in the records of Bayt al-‘Aqqad could have been real domes.

Reconstructing the house after the information given in the court record one should keep the possibility of domed rooms topping the murabba’s east and west of the iwan in mind. One finds in late Mamluk/early Ottoman structures in Aleppo a similar setting in the iwan complex of the Khan Quribak, which was built as a residential unit by the Mamluk governor Aztimur al-Ashrafi in the last decades of the 15th century and incorporated like Bayt al-‘Aqqad into an Ottoman waqf in 1546 (David 1998: 25–33). Also in Aleppo, murabba’s in the Ottoman Period were normally not domed or topped by domed rooms. In Ottoman court records from Aleppo the term appears for a type of room – and not for its shape – which was covered in the later Ottoman Period by a wooden ceiling (Tate 1990: 66). Maybe this development – from domed rooms, as in the residence of Aztimur al-Ashrafi, to the term qubba, as the name for a certain type of room – can be seen as parallel to Damascus. We assume, therefore, as a probable reconstruction model, that the possible domes in Damascus belonged to the Mamluk building that framed the higher-rising iwan frame (i.e. pishtaq) on both sides, and starting – as distinct from
the example in Aleppo – after two-thirds of its height. Most probably the *qubbas* collapsed during the earthquake of 1759 and were replaced by upper rooms above the *murabba'* during the reorganization of the house in the 1760s.

As at the *qa'a*, the outer framing of the *iwan*, moulded in limestone, goes all the way around the façade (Figs. 163 and 167). Similar moulded frames with the same motif appear on a building from the mid-15th century (in Ottoman times used as court/ *Mahkamat al-Bab*), on the Mu'allaq mosque (862/1458) and on the Dar al-Qur'an al-Sabuniya (868/1464; Fig. 168). The inner framing, limited to the upper part of the façade, is made in *ablaq* technique in a kind of arrow pattern. It is superimposed on the arch, which is also built in *ablaq* technique with black colour paste motifs on top and at the very beginning of the arch, above the two *muqarnas*, a typical feature for the 15th and the first half of the 16th century.

The *muqarnas* is one of the very symbols of Islamic architecture, having been introduced to Syria most probably by the Seljuqs in the 11th/12th century. Already during the Ayyubid and Mamluk periods it was a standard element in making the conjunction between two levels, like pendantives or arches, and in many mosques, schools and houses from the 15th to the 18th century it was a common feature for capitals on columns or as the base of arches as well. During these centuries the *muqarnas* were placed at the bottom of arches in more or less the same arrangement. What differs is the shaping of the single components of the *muqarnas*. Up to
the 15th century the sections were mostly plain or filled with small cartouches of
inscription or sometimes with floral motifs as well. During the 15th century it
became normal to structure the individual sections of the muqarnas with three
motifs: shells, palmettes, and vertical flutes, appearing in an alternating order. Fine
examples of this structure are seen in the two muqarnas found at the bases of the
iwan arches at the Bayt al-'Aqqad (Fig. 169). Muqarnas with a similar structure are
found at the Saqiwa mosque (814/1411 or more likely 869/1465: Fig. 170), at Bayt al-
Quwatli (second half of the 15th century: Fig. 171), and at two of the buildings very
close to Bayt al-'Aqqad: the minaret of al-Qal‘i (1460–70?) and the Dar al-Qur‘an
al-Khaydariya (878/1473–74: Fig. 172).

In the early 16th century these motifs were replaced by a star pattern, as shown
by examples from the Siba‘iya mosque (920/1514: Fig. 173) and the Ibn Arabi
mosque (924/1518: Fig. 174). Later, in the 17th and 18th centuries, the single com-
partments were perforated, like small grilles, as seen at the Bayt al-Sa‘ada (early
17th century: Fig. 175) and in the 18th-century muqarnas below the arch in the qa‘a
of the Bayt al-Aqqad (mid-18th century: Fig. 176). Although the development of
the muqarnas, as it is outlined here, is not linear or exclusive, there is hardly any
doubt that the muqarnas at the iwan of the Bayt al-Aqqad stylistically falls within a
group that should be dated within the second half of the 15th century.

As mentioned above, the buildings along the sides of the courtyard that were
added around 1900 partly covered the two Mamluk double-panels decorating the iwan façade east and west of the arch (Fig. 177). The panels are almost identical: farthest to the east and west are squares with a classical arrow motif, and nearest to the arch are squares with an arabesque motif.

Along the outside, the panels are framed by a moulded, undulating band of interwoven ribbons, cut in bricks of white limestone (Fig. 179). The band represents an early type of this pattern, with rounded corners and empty knots between the fillings, as seen for the first time around the central portal of the Dar al-Qur’an al-Sabuniya (668/1464: Fig. 178). In later periods the corners of the ribbons were angular and the knots were filled in with a star motif, as seen for example at the Siba’iya mosque (920/1514: Fig. 180) at Khan al-Jukhiya (963/1555–56: Fig. 181), at Qa’at al-Imadi (early 17th century) and in the mouldings in the qa’a of Bayt al-Aqqad (mid-18th century: Fig. 200).

Inside, the panels are bordered by a traditional ribbon of a black and white interlocked cone pattern. Especially interesting in this context, however, are the eastern panels, where seven of the triangular corners of the cone pattern are decorated with a lily, carved in basalt and surrounded by white paste (Fig. 179). The filling of corners in this way is not common until the 16th century, as shown e.g. at the Siba’iya mosque (920/1514) and at the Khan al-Jukhiya (963/1555–56: Fig. 181).

The two panels closest to the iwan are decorated with medallions with 12 pointed hexagons centred around a star and formed by black paste filled into patterns carved in bricks of limestone (Fig. 179).

The two outer panels are decorated with an arrow pattern made as a mosaic of black basalt and yellow or white limestone (Fig. 182). The motif is known from the 14th century and it appears in panels on almost all important Mamluk buildings from the 15th century (cf. e.g. Figs. 149, 150, and 151), continuing into the 16th century, as seen for example at the Siba’iya mosque (920/1514: Fig. 183).

In trying to date the construction of the iwan we are faced with one problem. As with the façade of the qa’a, an analysis of the individual ornamental elements on the arch and on the façade of the iwan all point towards parallels on the Mu’allaq mosque (862/1458), the Mamluk madrasa – housing in Ottoman times the Mahkamat al-Bab (mid-15th century), the Dar al-Qur’an al-Sabuniya (868/1464), and the Saqiya mosque (869/1465). But the lily motif at seven of the triangular corners of the eastern panel seems to suggest a later date since, as far as we know, a similar motif does not occur until early in the 16th century. However, since all other elements of this panel – including the technique applied on the arrow motif (stone mosaic in contrast to stone inlaid with colour paste) – point towards the middle or second half of the 15th century, we might suggest that the apparently late appearance of the lily motif is accidental, i.e. that other, earlier examples exist or may have existed on buildings which are now destroyed.
The Ceiling of the Iwan

The question that remains concerns the ceiling of the iwan. This ceiling was much damaged, repainted and restored, as shown for example by the date 1338/1919–20 written in one of the niches of the cornice by artists who redecorated the ceiling in the early 20th century. Since in this way it is quite impossible to trace its original patterns and colouring, we have chosen in this context to concentrate on the layout of the ceiling (Figs. 184–185).

The panelled ceiling is carried by an elaborate muqarnas cornice. It is framed by plain, wide planks and divided into six panels by seven wooden beams, rounded in the middle and angular towards the outer frame. The transition between the rounded and the angular parts is indicated by simple two-lined muqarnas. Each panel is subdivided into seven or nine smaller square or rectangular panels by bars, shaped like rounded columns with an indication of capitals at each end. The interior decoration of the panels is badly preserved, but arabesque- and shell-like mouldings and leaf- and S-shaped patterns occur.

Unfortunately, ceilings from the Mamluk and early Ottoman Periods are very
rare. Apart from the Tawrizi mosque in Damascus (832/1428–29), ceilings from
some mosques and houses in Cairo and a few early Ottoman houses in Aleppo are
known, like the ceiling from the destroyed Bayt Marashli, dated 1033/1623–24
(Weber 2004b: 258–261). Not until the 18th century are there enough beam ceilings
to make solid statements on their development.

It seems, however, that the ceiling of the Bayt al-‘Aqqad could date back to
Mamluk times. Apart from the muqarnas cornice – which is unique and without
close parallels in the Mamluk as well as in later periods – the ceiling of the iwan
is closely related to the ceiling at the Tawrizi mosque (Fig. 186). The similarity is vis-
ible in the clear and regular structure of the ceiling, framed by wide planks – very
typical for early ceilings – and divided by beams into panels which are subdivided
by bars into smaller square and rectangular panels. Also the shape of the beams
(angular towards the sides and rounded in the middle) and the bars (with capital-
like endings) are nearly identical in both ceilings. Finally, the interior decoration of
the panels on the ceilings of both the Bayt al-‘Aqqad and the Tawrizi mosque are
much alike.
In considering another possibility, that the ceiling might be Ottoman, one must first take the rebuilding activities at Bayt al-'Aqqad into account. The *iwan* was rebuilt twice during the 18th century (addition of the two upper *murabba's*) and in the early 20th century (remaking of all rooms connected to the *iwan*, and the lower section of the *iwan* by redesigning the walls and removing the *tazar*). Since ceilings of the early 20th century look totally different, the beam ceiling under discussion could only belong to one of the earlier building phases.

In fact some ceilings of the 17th and 18th centuries, like those for example from Bayt Marashli (Fig. 187) and Bayt Jabri (Fig. 188), are similar in lay-out to the Mamluk ceiling at the Tawrizi mosque (compartments, shape of the bars and beams). But at the same time the Ottoman ceilings are generally characterized by a very rich and detailed decoration absent in Mamluk times, and at none of those later houses are the ceilings framed by plain wide planks as at the Bayt al-'Aqqad and the Tawrizi mosque. Normally the latter frames are curved and structured while the compartments in the ceiling are often shaped with voluminous wood carvings or – from the 17th century onwards – the paint is applied on a moulded gypsum paste as a slightly raised relief. Earlier the decoration was painted on the plane surface of the wood, sometimes on a thin layer of lime. However, the ceiling in the *iwan* of Bayt al-'Aqqad does not show any remains of paint on moulded paste or gypsum.
178. Dar al-Qur’au al-Sabuniya: panel, 668/1464 (s.w. 2002).

179. Bayt al-‘Aqqad: the iwan façade, eastern panel (s.w. 2002).

180. Siba‘iya mosque: panel, 920/1514 (s.w. 2002).


184. Bayt al-‘Aqqad.
The ceiling of the iwan after restoration
(r.f. 2001).

185. Bayt al-‘Aqqad:
detail of the ceiling of the iwan after restoration
(r.f. 2001).
In conclusion, it is our impression that the ceiling of the iwan was made before the 18th century, most likely in the late Mamluk period – possibly at the same time as the iwan was built.

The Orientation and Setting of the Qa‘a in Mamluk Times

Introduction
There is no qa‘a known to have survived in Damascus with its original layout from Mamluk times. Unlike rooms as we know them in our day, with a more or less unstructured space inside and a plane, levelled floor, rooms from the Mamluk and Ottoman times had a formalized structure before the incorporation of European concepts in the middle of the 19th century. They were orientated towards the entrance door, which very often gave the only access to a room.

Upon entering a room one came first into a lower part, the so-called ‘ataba (threshold), usually occupying one-fifth to one-third of the space. This part, often paved with marble, was the entrance area of the room. Like in the qa‘a of the Bayt
al-'Aqqad (Fig. 189), one enters the 'ataba from its low side, facing a niche at the opposite end. In many cases a fountain is placed in the middle of the 'ataba. To the right of the entrance (sometimes on the left-hand side as well) the tazar, the second part of the room, rises like a platform between 40 and 60 cm from the ground level. This was the real living place where carpets were spread on the ground and small benches (diwan) were arranged along the walls where several niches gave storage space.

Both elements of the rooms – the tazar and the 'ataba – were separated by the step between them and by an arch over the step. Often the ceiling of the 'ataba rose much higher than the ceiling above the tazar. This arrangement was highly formalized and nearly all rooms in old houses in Damascus – especially in those built in the 18th and the first half of the 19th century – were organized like this.

**Suggestions for the Orientation and Setting of the Qa’a**

There is some evidence that the qa’a of the Bayt al-'Aqqad was originally set in another direction and was maybe meant as well to stand isolated or as the main element of a group of rooms. The original setting is not clear but we will attempt to reconstruct the qa’a according to two court record documents from 1119/1707 and 1162/1749. The first informs us that what are today Bayt al-'Aqqad and its north-western (Cat. 974) and south-western neighbours (Cat. 977) had been endowed as a unit in early Ottoman times (8m/133/– 25.4.1119). Findings in Bayt al-'Aqqad give evidence of minor changes in the Mamluk structure in the early 16th century. The records suggest that when this house was subdivided into two parts between 1160/1747 and 1162/1749 (further details, see below), not much had been changed in the meantime (124/123/222, 14.4.1162). Thus the description of 1162/1749 can to some extent be applied to the Mamluk building.
The property under discussion consisted of one outer courtyard (barrani, to be identified with Cat. 974) and one inner courtyard (juwwani, cat. 976/Bayt al-‘Aqqad). The boundary of the property was marked by what is today Suq al-Suf to the north, the vanished Matbakh al-Sukkar in the east and the alley east of what became Bayt al-Hawraniya in the west. The cadastral units 977, 961 can according to this document be identified with the Qa’at Bani Qarandal, once part of the complex. All of the house was facing towards the west, since the entrance of the barrani / small house in the northwest was from the alley in the west (gharban: dakhla ghayr nafidha wa-filha al-bab), like the entrance of the juwwani/Bayt al-‘Aqqad. The barrani most probably served as the main access to the building through an obviously impressive entrance. The record mentions a covered doorway (darkah), a term quite rarely used and most probably the predecessor of a present day disconnected workshop west of Bayt al-‘Aqqad. Moreover, a column (most likely from the ancient theatre) and an arch made of stone are mentioned (wa-‘amud wa-qaws hajjar). Arranged around a courtyard, there was a room to the east, a stable, a toilet (murtasaq), a water channel and a qasr, most probably a representative room in the entrance area (later normally used for rooms on the upper floor, like the Blue Room). Thus the qa’a was part of a larger setting most probably already in Mamluk times. When the structure was subdivided in the 1740s, this western entrance became part of an independent house and of unimportance for Bayt al-‘Aqqad – with consequences for the qa’a.

The interior, the ceilings, and the outer walls of the qa’a were, to a large extent, rebuilt and seriously changed in the 18th century. The architects who restored the house have stated that the entrance from the courtyard through the south wall was most probably made in connection with the 18th-century rebuilding of the lower part of the façade. On this occasion the three old rectangular windows were raised and supplied with arches decorated with ornaments inlaid with colour paste. The original Mamluk façade would in that case have been very similar to the 15th century façade of the house (cf. Figs. 147–148).

Disregarding the possibility of an entrance from the south, one may have entered the qa’a in Mamluk times from the north, east or west. However, the presence of a door into the qa’a from the north can also be excluded as it would have been necessary to cut through the Roman wall. The only opening, of which traces were found in the Roman wall north of the qa’a, was an arched doorway leading into the Roman scaenae below the later Mamluk and Ottoman floor levels (see above: Fig. 126).

This leaves us with the possibility that the qa’a may have been entered either from the east or from the west, in which case one would face the tazar, an arrangement known in the 16th and 17th centuries, and probably in earlier periods as well.

Nearly all houses that we know from Damascus were built in the 18th, 19th and
189. Bayt al-'Aqqad:
the 18th century qa'a (Weber 2005).

190. Bayt al-Sa‘ada, originally late 16th or early 17th century (Weber and Barri 2002).

20th centuries and the few remaining structures of the 15th, 16th and 17th centuries were often changed at a later point in time. A photo of a house from the 15th century (Fig. 148) and two room arrangements that could be dated due to their decoration to the late 16th or early 17th centuries give evidence that before the 18th century a qa‘a was entered from the low side of the room into an ‘atabiya facing the arch and the tazar. This can be observed for example in the Bayt al-Sa‘ada, which was rebuilt several times, but had preserved large parts of its original late 16th or early 17th-century structure, including two parallel rooms on the northern side of the house with the ‘atabiya – tazar laid out as described above (Fig. 190). This kind of “twin room” arrangement is something that can be observed also in another house of that period. The house, which around 1841 became the residence of the
Christian scholar Mikhail Mishaqa (1800–1888) was rebuilt after 1860. It also contains two rooms of a much earlier building phase that are very similar to those at the Bayt al-Sa'ada. Here, also, one faces the arch of the 'ataba and the tazar directly when entering the rooms (Fig. 191).

Probably this arrangement was common in late Mamluk and early Ottoman times and changed sometime in the late 17th or early 18th century. The shift in "how to organize a room" must be that time have been generally accepted and consistently practised since nearly all rooms with the arrangement of one 'ataba and one tazar that we know from the 18th and the first half of the 19th century have the entrance to the room from the short side of the 'ataba parallel to the arch between the 'ataba and the tazar. A good example of this new arrangement of rooms in the

191. Bayt Mishaqa, originally late 16th or early 17th century; rebuilt after 1860 (s.w. 1999).
18th century, which was applied to the Bayt al-'Aqqad as well, is the Bayt Lisbuna (Fig. 192). The two rooms in the north of Bayt Lisbuna, which originate from the first building phase of the 18th century, have a position very similar to those in the Bayt Sa'ada or the Bayt Mishaqa, but have another orientation. One could argue that we are dealing here with the same idea of the position of the qa'a, but with a change in orientation due to the adoption of a new fashion in the 18th century. However, the question of twin rooms is not of primary significance for the Bayt al-'Aqqad. But it is important to note, that a qa'a in the Bayt al-'Aqqad from the period before the 18th century most likely had the arrangement of the 'ataba and tazar as seen in the Bayt Mishaqa and in the Bayt al-Sa'ada, i.e. with an entrance facing the arch and the tazar.

Considering a possible western entrance to the Mamluk qa'a, it is important to note an apparent continuation towards the west of the southern façade of the qa'a. It is indicated by a horizontal muqarnas band with small niches leading from the zigzag frame of the qa'a towards west, separating the lower stone-built part of the wall from the upper part built in mud brick (Figs. 146 and 193). Although this western wall may have been added to the qa'a at a later time, it seems more likely that it represents a simple continuation of the Mamluk southern façade of the qa'a. Most of the wall is now covered behind the plaster of what is today the reading room (119), but there may have been a door through the wall west of this room where the restorers found a door-like niche in a shop below the Red Rooms, a part of the above-mentioned covered doorway (darkah) leading to the ex-barrani of the Mamluk/early Ottoman house (see p. 90). In view of its construction, with a mud-built upper part, it is unlikely that the wall was free-standing, dividing two courtyards. It must have been an outer wall of one or several rooms facing the courtyard. Most probably it was the southern wall of the room which is mentioned after the division of the house in the 1740s as the eastern room of the barrani. In this case a hypothetical western entrance to the qa'a should have given access from this room into the qa'a through its western wall and was disconnected during the division of the house. But unfortunately, there is nothing left to indicate or support an arrangement of that kind.

The only signs of a possible door leading into the qa'a before the 18th century were found at the eastern wall of the qa'a, where the restorers discovered the lintel of an opening at the same height as the original Mamluk windows in the southern façade. In later periods, for example in the Bayt al-Da'idi (Fig. 194) and in the 18th century façade of the qa'a at Bayt al-'Aqqad (Fig. 146), the height of doors and windows differs. But judging from old photographs and remaining structures this was not the case in the late Mamluk period. Therefore the lintel found by the restorers could easily belong to a door, an impression supported by the inner decoration of the qa'a, where the first 18th-century panel of the eastern wall was centred directly
above the top of this opening (see below: p. 267). This means that the orientation of the qa’a could have been from east to west.

If the qa’a was entered from the east, the interior arrangement of the qa’a must later have been changed completely – and this is in fact what happened: the northern wall was added inside the Roman wall, and the eastern, southern and western walls were changed, rebuilt, and redecorated at least twice in the 18th century. So with these substantial changes in mind it is not difficult to imagine that the position of the ‘ataba and the tazar may also have been interchanged and a new arch was built between the ‘ataba and the tazar when the eastern entrance to the qa’a was closed and replaced by a door in the south leading directly from the large 18th-century courtyard into the qa’a. But unfortunately we do not know anything about

192. Bayt Lisbuna: rooms from the first building phase of the 18th century (yellow) (Weber 2000, after Sack and Ahmad).
the 'ataba – tazar arrangement in the Damascene houses of Mamluk times, although madrasas in Damascus and houses in Cairo suggest that we are dealing with a similar understanding of space (low – high).

Consequently we suggest that the Mamluk qa’a was entered from the east or through a room in the west of the qa’a. Due to the records we know that until the 1750s there was no entrance courtyard in the north-west of the Bayt al’Aqqad, and neither was there a door cut through the Roman wall. Thus the main courtyard (junwani) in Mamluk times was most probably extending east of the qa’a towards the north, leaving a small square in front of the eastern wall of the qa’a and limited by the Roman walls to the north and east. This small square only makes sense to provide access to an entrance from the east of the qa’a (Fig. 195).
It is also unknown how the large courtyard between the qa'a and the iwan was restricted on the eastern and western sides. But it is notable in this context that the iwan façade west of the arch is c. 6.25 meters wide, while the façade east of the arch is only c. 5.6 meters wide, thus suggesting that the large courtyard was confined towards the east by the continuation of the Roman wall (or its foundations), and towards the south by the small courtyard, as indicated in the reconstruction (Fig. 195). Through the court records we know that the western border of the Mamluk/early Ottoman compound was provided by the small alley east of Bayt al-Hawraniyya, while the western section of this complex consisted of the small courtyard (barrani) to the north, an entrance porch-way south of it, and a qa'a, later known as Qa'at Bani Qarandal, at the southern end of this block, which defined the western border of the inner courtyard (juwran).

194. Bayt al-Da'di: façade in the juwran courtyard, 18th century (s.w. 1994).

195. Bayt al-Aqad: the Mamluk buildings as they may have appeared. Two possibilities are shown indicating an entrance to the qa'a from the east (version 1), or from the west (version 2). The reconstruction of a large rectangular fountain in the courtyard is based on a similar fountain in the Bayt al-Quwatli/al-Muradi (Weber and Mortensen 2005).
Conclusion

In a wider perspective, looking at the possible relationship between the qa’a and the iwan, it is interesting to note that on some buildings, like the Saqifa mosque and the Dar al-Qur’an al-Sabuniya, the large ornamental panels face the street, i.e. north and east respectively. But on three private houses from the same period, Bayt al-Aqqad, Bayt Nadir and on a house in Sidi ‘Amud/Hariqa, the panels are facing south, obviously towards an inner courtyard — and probably placed in such a way that they could be observed from the shady shelter of an iwan. At the Bayt al-Aqqad it is difficult to imagine the qa’a without the iwan, and in fact there is one point, apart from the obvious references to the decoration, which might suggest that the two buildings are part of the same scheme. As mentioned above (p. 135) Marianne Boqvist has measured the height of the stone courses on a number of Mamluk buildings in Damascus, revealing a considerable variation in height. Identical modules have only been found in three buildings: at Bayt Nadir and at the qa’a and the iwan of the Bayt al-Aqqad, where the courses have a regular height, varying from 28–31 cm.

In conclusion we suggest that both structures, the iwan and the qa’a, were most probably built within the third quarter of the 15th century. They could be contemporaneous, but since the artistic idiom of the two façades is slightly different (none of the decorative elements were applied on both façades), one might as well assume that the iwan was built shortly after the qa’a — as a response to the qa’a — completing the setting of the courtyard. In both cases different workshops might have been commissioned with the two structures. In the 15th century, and especially in the 1460s and 1470s a number of mobile workshops with artisans using various decoration modules, which they found attractive, were operating in the Mamluk cities (Meinecke 1992: 195–97).

Unfortunately we do not know who commissioned the workshops to build an iwan most probably with the words “it should be greater than any other in the city”. The Suq al-Qutn district was one of the most flourishing quarters of the city during the second half of the 15th century (see Meier and Weber below: p. 382 f.). Rich merchants and high-class officials settled here and most probably one of the most important families of the political, economic or even military elite built themselves a splendid home, the inner courtyard of which is known today as Bayt al-Aqqad. Some families of the 15th century remained powerful after the change to Ottoman rule in 1516. But as a pattern of the social history of Damascus, many new families settled there during the Ottoman centuries and quite often rose to be among the notable families of the city. It was only in very rare cases that families kept their houses for several generations. “Mamluk” families left the quarter or merged with other families and most probably the 16th and 17th century residents
of the Bayt al-‘Aqqad did not belong to the elite of the city anymore. Perhaps it was this shift from Mamluk to Ottoman rule that caused the Bayt al-‘Aqqad to change owner and, as indicated above, it appears in later documents as part of an endowment (waqf).

A court act authorising the exchange of the house in 119/1707 refers to a certain Shihab al-Din Ahmad ibn Battuh who is said to have endowed the complex in 932/1526 (8m/1331, 25.4.1119). We know of him only through his endowments, which also included a mosque in the village of Qabun to the northeast of Damascus towards Barza (12/161/308, 29.8.1094). In this latter document, he is referred to with the title khawaja, a title which in late Mamluk and early Ottoman times was given to important merchants. It was not unheard of during this period for men with a commercial background to rise to wealth and prominence, and there are many other examples of endowments of considerable size as the waqf of ‘Isa al-Qari or those of the ‘Anbari family.

Yet the history of the endowment may have been more complicated than it seems. At the turn to the 18th century the endowments of Ibn Battuh were administered by a family called Busrawi, who controlled a whole waqf cluster (12/161/308, 29.8.1094; 18/164/265, 21.4.1101; 146/169/395, 16.12.1169). All those involved in the administration of the house in 119/1707 had, judging from their titles, a military background and only one belonged to the Busrawi family proper. We know nothing of their activities except through these endowments. Some of them were controlled together with the prestigious Sufi family of the Hisni, who had a famous zaviya in outer Shaghur/Mazaz (56/31/1138, 10.4.1138).

Some of these preliminary findings have to be verified by further research, but the Busrawi-Hisni connection could lead a search for the founder into another direction. Shortly after the conquest, the Ottomans began to register all existing and new endowments in and around Damascus in long lists (Tapu defterler, mufassal), and these lists were periodically renewed until the end of the 16th century. Though there is a certain bias towards agrarian property, many of the most important foundations can be found without too many problems. Yet the search for Shihab al-Din Ahmad ibn Battuh did not prove successful. In several of these lists, however, we can find entries referring to a house and a qa‘a in the mahalla of Shaghur, listed under the name of Shihab al-Din Ahmad b. Shams/Nasir al-Din al-Busrawi. They concern two different endowments both dated to 935/1529 (Tapu No 393: 17; No 602: 268, 277). Both provided for the founder’s descendants in the first priority, but also his sister and his mother. But in the case of the family line dying out, the founder stipulated that half of the waqf’s income was for the distribution of bread to the poor in al-Mazaz, thus showing a certain attachment to the neighbourhood of Shaghur. This may be explained by the family history of this Busrawi, whose grandmother is referred to in another entry as a daughter of Shams al-Din.
Muhammad al-Hisni (Tapu No 602: 288). He was the head of the famous zawiya of the Hisnis in Mazaz at the turn of the century (d. 920 or 921/1514–16, Ghazzi 1997: 19). The Busrawi family seems to have been fairly rich, as we can learn from their endowing activities. There are three more waqfs listed in the name of Ahmad’s father and his cousin respectively (Tapu No 581: 73; No 602: 186). Seeing the importance of the house, it fits that Ahmad seems to have been the most successful of all. He endowed a considerable number of other assets, mostly plantations, but also some pieces of land, rows of shops in Shaghur and a water mill.

The person who owned the house in the early 16th century seems to have undertaken some minor construction works. This is suggested by the material evidence in the qa’a of Bayt al-Aqqad. During the two later 18th-century building phases (that of 1167/1754 and that following the earthquake of 1173/1759), moulded ribbons were reincorporated, similar to those of the Siba’iyya mosque and later 16th-century buildings. However, significant material evidence of an early 16th-century construction phase of Bayt al-Aqqad was not found and it seems that the founder most probably took over the house without changing much of the late 15th-century building.

The Ottoman Period:
The Remaking of the House in the 18th Century

In the early 18th century the large house with one barrani (Cat. 974) and one juywani was bought from the waqf administration by Muhammad Efendi ibn Muhammad Efendi ibn Muhibb Allah al-Ustuwani in 1119/1707. A document dated 1707 (8m/1331, 25.4.1119) records the complex legal procedure necessary to unmake an endowment. The house is said to have been in a state of disrepair and uninhabitable, and though it had been auctioned off several times, nobody had shown interest except the said Muhammad Efendi al-Ustuwani. To ensure the legality of the proceedings he presented to the judge a sultanic order from Istanbul (amr sultan) that authorized the exchange, backed up with a number of legal opinions (fatwa) confirming the legality of what had happened. Thus the house became the private property of Muhammad Efendi al-Ustuwani, and this transaction started the long circle of divisions and alterations that made the Bayt al-Aqqad what it is today. We have no evidence of building activities in the very early 18th century and we do not know if Muhammad Efendi al-Ustuwani (d. 1131/1718–19) ever lived in the house. He still worked at the time of the purchase as a chief clerk (bash katib) at the main court of Damascus, but he retired shortly thereafter (Ibn Kannan: 135, 301). His father (d. 1115/1703) had held the same position before him (Ibn Kannan: 65) as did other members of the large family. The Ustuwanis were a well-known family of religious standing, but this Muhammad did not belong to the most pres-
tigious lineages and is not mentioned in the more important biographical collections of the time.

The house, however, did not stay long in the property of the family. Though Ustuwanî had several descendants, he or they seem to have sold it to Sayyid 'Abd al-Latif Jelebi al-Hajjar. In 1141/1729 two daughters of the latter and several others sold the part of the house located in the south-western corner (62/42/115, 26.8.1141), leaving the rest to their brothers Muhammad and 'Abd al-Razzaq. The Hajjars were an *ashraf* family whose members mainly worked in trade, but some of their members had entered the religious establishment (Sayyadi: 83–84). They were among the most prominent notables in the Suq al-Qutn neighbourhood (see Meier and Weber below: p. 417), but unfortunately we do not know anything more about this specific branch.

It was the heirs of 'Abd al-Razzaq al-Hajjar who further subdivided the house in 1160/1747. Two years later the officially registered division resulted in two units of very different size. The *juwwani* stayed in the hands of the Hajjar children, but the *barrani* now belonged to a certain Hajj Ahmad ibn 'Umar ibn 'Ammar (124/123/222, 14.4.1162). As we shall see, this division in two sections will have essential consequences for the transformation of the northern *qa'a* of the *juwwani*. Ibn 'Ammar was probably engaged in trade, too. His brother Hajj 'Ali is addressed in his endowment deed as the "pride of the merchants" (*fakhrt al-tijjar*) (186/331/625, 21.5.1185).

Only five years later, in 1167/1754, the *juwwani* was sold by the female members of the Hajjar lineage to a certain Sayyid Isma’îl Jelebi ibn Mustafa ibn 'Ali al-Hariri (MSD 144/79/98 15.6.1167). Belonging to the descendants of the Prophet (*ashraf*) like the Hajjar, the Hariri were well known for branches in the Hawran, in Hama and in Damascus (Sayyadi: 130–135). We do not know much about this man, except that not long before, he had invested a considerable sum in another house in the neighbourhood, near the Khudayriyya, where later other members of the family lived (122/18/32, 5.3.1160; 174/47/69, 2.4.1180; 236/519/1006, 5.7.1218). He therefore seems to have been rather well off. Isma’îl Jelebi himself may not have been very well known in the neighbourhood, because when in 1184/1770 the smallest house of the former unit was rented out, now as a part of the endowment for the muezzins of the Umayyad mosque, his house was yet referred to as that of 'Abd al-Razzaq al-Hajjar (183/29/44, 15.7.1184; 102/60/173, 9.4.1185; 102/58/172, 2.8.1185).

Isma’îl’s purchase of the house in 1167/1754 was the birth of Bayt al-'Aqqad as we know it today, but it was not the end of its changes. After the devastating earthquake of 1173/1759 it was most probably Isma’îl Jelebi al-Hariri and his family who had to rebuild this house, transforming the *qa'a* into what it is today and creating a new *barrani*, entered through an opening in the north-eastern corner of the Roman wall, and by building the Secretariat and what later became the Blue Room. So once again it became a house with both a *juwwani* and a *barrani*. 
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The Interior Decoration of the Qa‘a and Its Orientation

As seen in the records, the general layout of the house as we know it today developed due to a series of transactions during the 18th century. It seems that at least two major phases of building activities can be referred to this period. A key to understanding the 18th-century changes of the house is found in the interior decoration of the qa‘a.

Shortly before the great earthquake in 1759 – probably in 1167/1754 – the interior of the qa‘a had been redesigned in the style of the 18th century (Figs. 196–197). The lintel above an opening in the eastern wall of the qa‘a (Fig. 198) is not only a clue to the orientation of the qa‘a but gives important hints towards understanding the situation of the inner eastern façade, its decorative programme and its changing appearance. The middle panel (Fig. 198A) was orientated after this opening, which was still there when the interior of the Mamluk qa‘a in the 18th century was redecorated with a new mantle of ornamental stone work, plaster, and coloured paste. The main decorative panel was centred directly on the top of this opening. Most probably the rectangular panels on either side of the central panel (Fig. 198B) were also placed on top of openings (windows?) framing the entrance in the middle. Farthest towards the south is a vertical panel (Fig. 198C). Since the rhythm of the decorative panels was determined by the central opening through the eastern wall, the vertical panel towards south must originally have been balanced by a similar panel (Fig. 198D), most of which was later covered by the additional northern wall, which was built after the earthquake in 1759. The width of this panel would have been approximately 55 cm, indicating that the qa‘a, which is now c. 6.15 metres wide, must originally have had a width of 6.60–6.80 metres. As in the Mamluk period, it most probably had an ‘ataba and a taqar. The ‘ataba in the record of 1162/1749 is called fasaha. It was paved with marble, had a jet fountain (birka ma na hida) and a window which opened to the rostrum (suffa, see above) and the courtyard. No other door to the south is mentioned and the probable room to the west could not have been part of the qa‘a anymore since the Mamluk/Early Ottoman house had been divided. The ‘ataba described in the record, and the entrance to it, must have been in the east of the qa‘a in 1167/1754.

The decorative patterns of the frames and panels of the eastern wall are exactly the same as the patterns on a stone with an inscription (Figs. 198E and 199), which was later refitted on the same wall when the basaltic arches were broken into the wall and the wall was rebuilt. Originally, the inscription with the date was most probably placed in the centre above the opening in the wall between the arch and the frame of the opening. Due to the inscription the first inner mantling of the Mamluk qa‘a in the 18th century should therefore be dated 1167/1754, most proba-
ably as a direct response to the division of the estate five years earlier and to the acquisition and restoration of the house by Isma'il Jelebi al-Hariri in 1754.

The earthquake in 1759 must, however, have destroyed essential parts of the original qa'a, leaving it open to a complete re-arrangement in layout and decoration in accordance with the new perceptions of organization and style adapted in Damascus by the middle of the 18th century. First of all the orientation of the qa'a was changed. The eastern opening was closed, and at the wall facing the large courtyard the lower part of the southern façade, below the large Mamluk panel, was rebuilt. The rectangular Mamluk windows were lifted and provided with arches, decorated with inlaid patterns of coloured paste, and a new door was broken through the wall, leading from the large courtyard into the western end of the qa'a, facing the short end of a new 'ataba. The tazar was now at the eastern end of the qa'a, separated from the 'ataba by a new arch supported by two richly decorated muqarnas (Fig. 176). The interior walls were all redecorated, and since the northern inner wall had to have niches, which were about 50 cm deep, and the builders did not want to cut into the Mamluk/Roman walls, a new wall making space for the full depth of the niches was added along the northern inner side of the qa'a.

On the south side, no additional niches had to be built because of the existing windows. But along the east wall a new symmetrical rhythm of the niches, as we
Bayt al-'Aqqad: stone in the eastern wall of the qa' a with an inscription. The inscription reads: "All glory to God and His Messenger. 1167" (R.F. 2001).

Bayt al-'Aqqad: panel on the western part of the interior south wall of the qa'a (R.F. 2001).

find it today, was created after the eastern entrance was closed (Fig. 198). A similar arrangement of the niches appears at the west wall (see page 269). On the other hand there are no indications of an interruption in the decoration of the southern façade, and also the reused framing ribbons of the southern wall have a clear ending towards the western wall and the muqarnas of the arch (Fig. 200).

In a later phase (late 19th century or beginning of the 20th century) some of the niches were elevated and provided with shelves or built-in cupboards. Examples of this are seen at the eastern wall where the tymanums of the small niches were lifted and new arches were cut into the yellow limestone above (Fig. 198F–G).

Here the lines of stones were not refitted regularly since the wall was now plastered and painted over and the stones of the façade were not meant to be visible anymore. This becomes particularly obvious in the central niche, where the new basaltic stone tymanum cuts into the decorative panel (Fig. 198H). It did not have to be so, as the arch and the 18th-century decorative panels and decorations were also covered with plaster and paint. This is very typical of the changes in the second half of the 19th and early 20th centuries, where the new mode of living did not respect the architectural style and decorations of bygone times. This attitude is in sharp contrast to the mentality of the craftsmen of the 18th century, who often tried to incorporate the new elements more harmoniously into the given structures. The accurate completion of the lower frames of the Mamluk panel of the outside qa'a façade during the process of lifting the window lintels in the 18th century is a good example of this mentality.
Except for the changes in the 19th and 20th century, the inner decoration of the qa'a following the earthquake in 1759 gives the impression of a fairly homogeneous structure, although minor changes can be observed and the patterns of colour paste differ slightly from wall to wall. Especially the northern and western interior façades are filled with tulips and floral decoration, which is missing on the eastern and southern walls, and the colours of the various motifs on the walls are also slightly different. This might perhaps be due to work carried out by two different groups of craftsmen. The use of the three-coloured ablaq indicates a date in the second half of the 18th or early 19th century, while the colour paste decoration must have been made before the 1780s. However, since the rest of the qa'a decoration on wood and stone seems to belong to the middle or second half of the 18th century, and since similar colour paste decorations are present in other sections of the house where the wood panelling of the Red Room (No 2.12) in the West Wing is dated 1176/1762–63, we suggest that the second major 18th-century building phase of the ‘Aqqad House – including the re-orientation of the qa’a – took place in the 1760s, shortly after the earthquake when the house was most probably still the property of Isma‘il Jelebi al-Hariri. But before we turn to this major reorganization of the ‘Aqqad House, we need to mention another important consequence of the division of the house in 1160/1747. According to the court record of 1162/1749 the juwwani (Bayt al-‘Aqqad) had only one entrance from its south-eastern corner, where one still finds a door from the small alley in the west (Fig. 201). Five years later, in 1167/1754, the house had one door from the southwest and another entrance from the north. Thus the building activities in the mid-1750s also reflect a reorientation of the house from west to east. During the construction of the eastern doorway to the qa’a, a new entrance to the house from the northeast was established, probably by Isma‘il Jelebi al-Hariri after he had bought the house.

New Additions to the Bayt al-‘Aqqad in the 18th Century

Immediately after the earthquake – probably in the 1760s – a series of building activities and restorations were carried out, and the layout of the house was thoroughly changed by the addition of new elements. Southeast of the qa’a, a square building with two rooms (1.3 and 2.1), one above the other, was built, thus creating the small north-eastern courtyard (1.2), with a staircase leading to the upper room of the building. This was a direct response to the new situation before the earthquake and the house consisted again – as houses should – of a barrani (now in the northeast instead of northwest) and a juwwani. By the construction of this staircase, the eastern door to the qa’a was blocked (which most probably caused the new door to the qa'a in the south) and a new corridor or passage connecting the
two courtyards was constructed between the square building and the qa'a (1.4). During the building of the corridor, parts of the south-eastern qa'a wall were removed to make more space for the passage (here the lower part of the wall is 23 cm thinner than the upper part of the wall). The corridor between the courtyards is closed by doors at either end (Fig. 24), and it is constructed in such a way that it is impossible for people entering the small courtyard to look directly into the large inner courtyard. This so-called “bent-axis approach”, discreetly protecting the privacy of those living in the house, is a very characteristic element in Near Eastern architecture. It represents a tradition which can at least be traced back to the 4th millennium BC.

Other elements of this second phase of 18th-century building activities probably include the new and exquisite mosaic paving of the courtyard between the iwan and the qa'a, the marble fountain in the middle of the courtyard – during which the paved rostrum with a small fountain (mentioned in 1749) was removed. In the western murabba' (1.13) an 'ataba and a – later removed – tazar were constructed and the rooms on top of the two murabba's on each side of the iwan (3.3 and 3.4) added; after the (domed?) rooms mentioned in 1749 must have collapsed during the earthquake.

The windows of these upper iwan rooms are framed by stone, and the ablaq and colour paste decoration of the lintel are in the style of the 18th century (Fig. 202). Windows made out of stone/abraq on the upper floors of houses are quite rare in this period, but another example is seen at the late 17th/early 18th-century Bayt al-Baltajiyya/al-Sirawani.

The room above the eastern murabba' (3.3) may originally have been reached by a wooden staircase from the courtyard. More difficult to interpret is the setting along the western side of the courtyard. Here two rooms, including the Red Room (2.2), may have been part of a western side wing, connected by a kind of lost gallery to the upper iwan room. A staircase may have led from the still preserved 18th-century door in the northern wall of the upper iwan room down to the gallery along the western wing. A similar gallery is seen on an old photograph of a Damascene house built by Muhammad Pasha al-'Azm around 1775 (Fig. 203).10

The court record from 1749 helps us to answer another difficult question. The outside of the northern wall of the Red Room was once an outside wall and its anteroom was added to it. The upper part of the wall has windows, as outer walls normally do. The barrani north of the Red Room is described in 1749 as part of the Mamluk/early Ottoman house. Its upper floor consisted of a small loggia (mashraqa) and a room with a chimney (uijaq) above the covered doorway. A stone staircase led to it. This room with a chimney was most probably the predecessor of the Red Room facing to the north, while the anteroom to it can be identified with the loggia. After the earthquake both were turned into two connected rooms,
facing Bayt al-‘Aqqad (the *juwwani*) and not the *barrani* anymore, which had become an independent house. The Red Room is located over the disconnected workshop which was once the covered doorway to the Mamluk house.

**Conclusion**

As already mentioned, it is our impression that the first 18th-century modification and decoration of the *qa'a* took place shortly before the great earthquake in 1759, probably in 1167/1754, as a reaction to legal transactions and the division of the original house.

The major changes and additions to the house, including the new setting of the *qa'a*, the square building southeast of the *qa'a* with the staircase leading to its upper room, the new *barrani* in the north-east, the corridor connecting the two courtyards, the mosaic paving of the large courtyard with the new fountain, the two rooms on top of the *murabba‘*, and the new western side wing were in all probability carried out as components of a major restoration project in the 1760s, immediately after the earthquake. It is notable in this context that the original 18th century ceilings of the two rooms in the square building southeast of the *qa'a* are almost identical to the ceiling of the Red Room, dated 1176/1762–63, an indication that these rooms were not only built and decorated simultaneously, but that the
same group of workmen were engaged in the construction and decoration of the ceilings in various parts of the Bayt al-'Aqqad (see Haase below: pp. 306–308, and Figs. 226–227).

When Isma'il Jelebi al-Hariri bought himself a new home in 1167/1754, the qa'a was redecorated and a new entrance built to the house from the north. We don't know if the privacy of the family was disturbed by entering the main courtyard directly and what he would have changed if the earthquake had not happened. However, he and his family took the damages of the earthquake in 1759 as an opportunity to change the house considerably and to adopt it to the taste of the time and their daily needs. The enlargement of the house resulted in a densification of its internal space—a pattern that we will meet again during the next period of rebuilding Bayt al-'Aqqad some 140 years later. However, after the earthquake, Isma'il Jelebi al-Hariri most probably made Bayt al-'Aqqad once again into one of the major and trendy houses of 18th-century Damascus.

203. Bayt Lütticke, built around 1775 by Muhammad Pasha al-'Azm and destroyed in the 1950s (Old photograph from the Messbildarchiv Berlin).
List of Buildings Mentioned in the Text
With a selected bibliography in European languages and the catalogue of Talas in Arabic

811/1408-9 
Qasab/Aqsab Mosque

826/1423 
al-Tawrzi Mosque
Wulzinger/Watzinger 1924/1984: B7-1; Talas 1975: 204, No 56.

830/1427 
Hisham Minaret and Mosque
Meinecke 1992: II, 343, No 33/40; Wulzinger/Watzinger 1924/1984: E5/8;

832/1428-9 
al-Tawrzi Mosque Minaret
Gaube 1978: 93, No 177; Meinecke 1992: II, 345 f, No 33/49;
Talas 1975: 204, No 56.

1450 
Mahkamat al-Bab

857/1453 
Madrasa al-Shadhbakiyya
Meinecke 1992: II, 378, No 37/3; Sack 1989: 103, No 3.32; Wulzinger /

862/1458 
al-Mu'allaq Mosque
(or 925/1518-19) after Meinecke Mosque of Amir Bardbak al-Ashrafi
Meinecke 1992: II, 381, No 37/17; Sack 1989: No 3.71;

1460-70 
Qal' Minaret

868/1464 
Dar al-Qur'an al-Sabuniya
Meinecke 1992: II, 381, No 37/22; Sack 1989: No 3.52;

869/1465 
-al-Saqifa Mosque
(or 84/1411) Gaube 1978: 86, No 163 f; Meinecke 1992: II, 313, No 26B-49;

878/1473-4 
Dar al-Qur'an al-Khaydariya

1475 
Bayt al-Quwatl
Sack 1989: 108, No 4.13; Schatkowski Schilcher 1985: 162, Fig. 4, F3/5;

1475 
Bayt Nasir
(XXVII-58)

1500 
Bayt Masri (only iwan)

920/1514 
Siba'iya Mosque
Wulzinger/Watzinger 1924/1984: D5/1; Talas 1975: 228, No 151.

924/1518 
Ibn Arabi Mosque

963/1555-56 
Khan Jukhiya

1600 
Qa'at al-Imadi

1620 
Bayt al-Sa'ada (early 17th century)

1650 
Bayt Mishaqa

1700 
Bayt Abdallah Khayyata
(XXX-933)

1743-44 
Bayt al-Baltajjiyya/al-Sirawani

1749 
Bayt Jabri

1179/1749 
Hammam Fathi
(XXXIII-21)

1183/1769-70 
Bayt al-Siba'i

1190/1766-77 
Dar Hafiz Bek al-'Azm/Bayt al-'Aidi

1201/1787 
Bayt Hawraniyya

1830 
Bayt Lisbuna
(Rebuilt between 1865 and 1872) Sack 1989: 113, No 7.73;

Without Dates

Bayt al-Jabiya

Bayt al-Saghir

Tahunat al-Sijn
(XXI-999)
Notes

1) Scholars do not agree on the etymology of the gate. The name is normally referred to the village Jabiya some 80 km south of Damascus, which was of special importance in early Islamic history. Popular explanations do refer to Sitti or Sayyida Jabiya, a female local saint buried next to the gate.

2) Tahnumat al-Sjyna is one of eleven water mills mentioned by Ibn `Asakir (1105-1176) in his description of Damascus, Ta`rikh madinat Dimashq (Ibn `Asakir 1954: 155, 130; Elisséeff 1956: 76; see also Sack 1989: 25, 95 No 1.48 and Beilage 3).

3) These records will only be quoted for the most important information. The following records from the Centre of Historical Documents in Damascus belonging to the Mahakim S̱urʿiya Dimashq Series helped us to identify owners and building phases of Bayt al-`Aqqad.

4) We would like to thank Sarah Atassi for the photo from the IFPO-archives. It is not dated or identified.

5) After the Mongol destruction, the Saqifa mosque was rebuilt in 814-15/1411-12. Half a century later it was restored and to some extent renewed by Shaykh Ismail bin Muhammad al-Suyufi, who in 869/1465 added the minaret and probably the ornamental decoration of the façade (Meinecke 1992, I: 192-93 and II. 391, No 39/23).

6) The colour paste decorative technique did not develop in Cairo, as it is sometimes assumed. To be sure, more research on the Mamluk decoration techniques is needed. But one can state that the colour paste technique was not used in the Egyptian capital for larger decoration settings at the turn from the 14th to the 15th century. However, the first samples in Cairo that are known show features unknown in Damascus. In the qibla walls of the madrasa of Qadi Abu Bakr ibn Muzhir (884/1479-80) and the mosque of Amir Qajmas al-Ishaqi (886/1481), very fine floral motifs were carved in white marble and filled with black paste. Both of them carry the signature of Abd al-Qadir al-Naqqash. In Damascus this technique develops in the 1360s/1370s and is widespread soon after. For the Mamluk colour pastes in Cairo see Behrens-Abouseif 1989: 26 f., and 148 ff., and for the development of colour paste in Cairo see Duda 1973: 28.

7) The panel at Bayt Nadir is located inside a house, added at a later time. Older structures were not found, but they may of course have existed.

8) All historical information in this chapter concerning the owners of Bayt al-`Aqqad was kindly provided by Astrid Meier.

9) The inscription reads: Al-`Izatu-lih-lah wa hir(asulih) 1167, i.e. “All glory to God and His Messenger. 1167”.

10) From historical maps we were able to identify the house with plot No XXI/1-67 directly south of the Suq al-Hamadiyya. Court records refer at this location to the house of Muhammad Pasha al-`Azni. In the late 13th century the house served as residence for the German Consul Ernst Lüticke. During his residency in Damascus from 1881 to 1904 the house served both as the German Consulate and as a private bank. The house was destroyed in the course of the ongoing reorganization of the quarter of Sidi `Amud/al-Hariqa after the French bombardment in 1925 (see Weber 2001: Catalogue No XXI/1-67).
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